Obtaining the **Bending Modulus** from a Buckled Lipid Membrane

Patrick Diggins, Mingyang Hu, Markus Deserno

Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA, USA

October 11, 2013

- Lipid Membranes
 - Membrane elasticity
- Review of other techniques
 - Fluctuations
 - Active Bending
- Theory
 - Shape equation
 - Stress-strain relation
 - Fluctuation corrections
- Simulation Setup
- Results
- Energetics
 - Temperature Dependence of Bending Rigidity

Lipid Membrane



- Forms barriers around cells and cellular organelles
- Formed by two layers of lipid molecules
- Forms due to the hydrophilic nature of lipid molecules

Elasticity of Lipid Membranes

- We can model the membrane as an elastic sheet.
- The energy can be described as:

$$\mathbf{E}[S] = \int_{S} dA \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \kappa (K - K_0)^2 + \bar{\kappa} K_G \right\}$$

- κ: Bending modulus
- κ̄: Gaussian curvature modulus
- $K = c_1 + c_2$: Total curvature
- *K*₀: Intrinsic curvature
- $K_G = c_1 c_2$: Gaussian curvature



en.widipedia.org/wiki/Curvature

- Lipid Membranes
 - Membrane elasticity
- Review of other techniques
 - Fluctuations
 - Active Bending
- Theory
 - Shape equation
 - Stress-strain relation
 - Fluctuation corrections
- Simulation Setup
- Results
- Energetics
 - Temperature Dependence of Bending Rigidity

Simulation Techniques to obtain k

- Fluctuation Methods
 - Undulations
 - Tilt
- Active Bending
 - Cylindrical tethers
 - Buckling

Fluctuations

Power spectrum of undulation modes^{1,2}:

•
$$\kappa = \frac{k_b T}{L^2 q^4 \left\langle \left| h_q \right|^2 \right\rangle}$$

Power spectrum of tilt fluctuations³:

•
$$\kappa = \frac{k_b T}{q^2 \left\langle \left| \hat{n}_q^{||} \right|^2 \right\rangle}$$

¹Goetz R., Gompper G., Lipowsky R. (1999) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**: 221-224 ²Lindahl E., Edholm O. (2000) *Biophys. J.* **79**:426-433 ³Watson M.C., Brandt E.G., Welch P.M., Brown F.L.H. (2012) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109: 028102

Active Bending

- Enforce particular shape and measure the constraining force
- Early attempt: enforce large undulation mode using umbrella sampling¹
- Problem separating effect of bending from effect of stretching



¹Otter W.K. and Briels W.J. (2003) J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 4712

Active Bending of Cylinder

- Hold a cylindrical tether at a fixed length.
- $\kappa = \frac{FR}{2\pi}$
 - *F* is the force needed to hold the tether in a fixed position.
 - *R* is the radius of the tether.
- Useful for implicit solvent models.



V.A. Harmandaris and M. Deserno, J. Chem. Phys. 125, 204905 (2006) Carnegie Mellon

- Lipid Membranes
 - Membrane elasticity
- Review of other techniques
 - Fluctuations
 - Active Bending

Theory

- Shape equation
- Stress-strain relation
- Fluctuation corrections
- Simulation Setup
- Results
- Energetics
 - Temperature Dependence of Bending Rigidity

Buckled Membranes

 The energy of a buckled membrane can be parameterized as a function of the arc length:

$$E[\psi(s)] = L_y \int_0^L ds \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \kappa \dot{\psi}^2 + f_x \left[\cos \psi - \frac{L_x}{L} \right] \right\}$$

 f_x is the lateral compressive stress along the membrane

• Functional variation shows that $\psi(s)$ satisfies:

$$\ddot{\psi} + \lambda^2 \sin \psi = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{\kappa}{f_x}$$

M. Hu, P. Diggins, M. Deserno. J. Chem. Phys. **138**, 214110 (2013)



H. Noguchi,. Phys. Rev. E. 83, 061919 (2011)

Shape of a buckle

 The shape of the buckle can be parameterized in terms of the arc length.

$$\psi(s) = 2 \arcsin\{\sqrt{m} \sin[s/\lambda, m]\}$$

$$x(s) = 2 \lambda E[am[s/\lambda, m], m] - s$$

$$z(s) = 2 \lambda \sqrt{m} (1 - \operatorname{cn}[s/\lambda, m])$$

$$0.4 \qquad \begin{array}{c} z/L \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.6 \\ x/L \end{array}$$

$$m = \sin^2\left(\frac{\psi_i}{2}\right)$$

E, sn, am, cn are elliptic integrals.

 ψ_i is the angle at the inflection point

Imposing Constraints on the Buckle

- There are still two unknowns in the equations of shape:
 - *m* (the elliptic parameter) which is equivalent to the inflection angle
 - λ (the characteristic length) which depends on the f_{x} .

- Two constraints must be enforced:
 - $\psi(s)$ is periodic with period *L*
 - when s has increased by L, x must increase by L_x.

Stress-strain relation

• We first express *m* as a function of strain:

$$m(\gamma) = \gamma - \frac{1}{8}\gamma^2 - \frac{1}{32}\gamma^3 - \frac{11}{1024}\gamma^4 \cdots$$

• f_{χ} can be expressed as:

$$f_{x} = \kappa \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{9}{32}\gamma^{2} + \frac{21}{128}\gamma^{3} \cdots\right]$$

 Since this is a fluid membrane, there is also a force in the perpendicular direction:

$$f_y = \kappa \frac{(2\pi)^2}{A} \frac{L_y}{L_x} \left[1 - \frac{5}{2}\gamma - \frac{23}{32}\gamma^2 - \frac{39}{128}\gamma^3 \cdots \right]$$

Fluctuation Corrections

- Need to account for thermal membrane undulations.
- The correction in the direction of the buckle is extremely small

$$\delta f_{\chi} = \frac{3k_B T}{2LL_y} \left[1 + \frac{5}{8}\gamma + \frac{27}{64}\gamma^2 + \frac{295}{1024}\gamma^3 \cdots \right]$$

 The correction for the stress perpendicular to the buckle is larger and also depends on a microscopic cutoff length a

$$\delta f_y = \frac{-k_B T L}{L_x L_y a} \left[1 + \frac{3L_y a}{2L^2} \left(1 - \frac{11}{8} \gamma \cdots \right) \right] \qquad a = \frac{2\pi}{q_c}$$

- Lipid Membranes
 - Membrane elasticity
- Review of other techniques
 - Fluctuations
 - Active Bending
- Theory
 - Shape equation
 - Stress-strain relation
 - Fluctuation corrections

Simulation Setup

- Results
- Energetics
 - Temperature Dependence of Bending Rigidity

Setting up Simulations

- Width of membrane strip should be small
 - Saves computational effort
 - Reduces the fluctuations in the y-direction
- Three processes need to be performed
 - Obtain the length of a flat membrane under zero stress
 - Create the buckled membrane
 - Many different techniques
 - Calculate the stress from a buckled membrane at a fixed stain

- Lipid Membranes
 - Membrane elasticity
- Review of other techniques
 - Fluctuations
 - Active Bending
- Theory
 - Shape equation
 - Stress-strain relation
 - Fluctuation corrections
- Simulation Setup
- Results
- Energetics
 - Temperature Dependence of Bending Rigidity

The Cooke Model



- Coarse-grained lipid
- 3 beads: reasonable aspect ratio
- Generic bead-spring

Carnegie Mellon

- Only pair forces
- Solvent free

I.R. Cooke, K. Kremer, M Deserno, Phys. Rev. E 72, 011506 (2005) I.R. Cooke and M. Deserno, J. Chem. Phys. 123, 224710 (2005)

Cooke Model Results



Other models





- Wang Model: DOPC
 - 17 beads
 - Implicit Solvent

Z.J. Wang and M. Deserno,*New J. Phys.* **12**, 095004(2010)

• MARTINI: DMPC

- 10 beads
- CG solvent

S.J. Marrink *et. al.*, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **111**, 7812 (2007)

- Berger: DMPC
 - 46 beads
 - United Atom
 - Explicit Solvent

O. Berger, O. Edholm and F. Jähnig, *Biophys. J.* **72**, 2002 (1997) Carnegie Mellon

Results

- MARTINI:
 - $\kappa_{\chi} = 29.0 \pm 1.0 \ k_B T$
 - $\kappa_y = 27.7 \pm 1.9 \ k_B T$
- Wang:
 - $\kappa_x = 5.5 \pm 0.4 k_B T$
 - $\kappa_y = 3.1 \pm 2.6 k_B T$
- Berger:
 - $\kappa_{\chi} = 24.8 \pm 0.9 \ k_B T$
 - $\kappa_y = 26 \pm 11 k_B T$



- Lipid Membranes
 - Membrane elasticity
- Review of other techniques
 - Fluctuations
 - Active Bending
- Theory
 - Shape equation
 - Stress-strain relation
 - Fluctuation corrections
- Simulation Setup
- Results
- Energetics
 - Temperature Dependence of Bending Rigidity

Energetics of Bending

- For all models, the free energy of bending is lower than the total energy of bending.
 - Entropy favors bending
- As a function of γ, the two energies differ by a multiplicative factor.
- The ratio between the energies reveals the temperature dependence of the bending modulus.



Temperature Dependence of **k**



Results

- Cooke:
 - $R = 5.43 \pm 0.12$
- MARTINI:
 - $R = 4.59 \pm 0.08$
- Wang
 - $R = 9.3 \pm 1.0$
- Berger
 - $R = 4.5 \pm 3.0$



Conclusions

 Buckling membranes provides an efficient and accurate method for obtaining bending rigidity.

- The method works for a wide range of lipid models.
- It allows to obtain local temperature dependence of bending rigidity.

Acknowledgements

- We would like to thank:
 - Erik Brandt
 - Jemal Guven
 - John Nagle
 - David Siegel
- KITP

- Funding:
 - NSF Grant PHY11-25915
 - NSF Grant CMMI-0941690